

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

DEONDRE WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

v.

ATF LAS VEGAS DIVISION, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:14-cv-01013-RFB-CWH

ORDER

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 13) of the Honorable Carl W. Hoffman, United States Magistrate Judge, entered September 26, 2017.


A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by October 10, 2017. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 13) is
2 ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff Deondre Williams' case is DISMISSED
4 without prejudice.

5 The Clerk of Court is directed to serve a copy of this Order upon Plaintiff.

6 DATED: October 26, 2017.

7
8 
9 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**
10 **United States District Judge**